

AN ELEMENTARY PROOF OF THE IRRATIONALITY OF TSCHAKALOFF SERIES

WADIM ZUDILIN[‡] (Moscow)

E-print math.NT/0506086

To A. B. Shidlovskiĭ on the occasion of his 90th birthday

ABSTRACT. We present a new proof of the irrationality of values of the series $\mathcal{T}_q(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n q^{-n(n-1)/2}$ in both qualitative and quantitative forms. The proof is based on a hypergeometric construction of rational approximations to $\mathcal{T}_q(z)$.

1. Introduction. In 1919, L. Tschakaloff introduced the series [10]

$$\mathcal{T}_q(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n q^{-n(n-1)/2}, \quad (1)$$

convergent in the whole complex z -plane whenever $|q| > 1$, and proved the irrationality and linear independence of its values at rational non-zero points z and q (under certain assumptions on q). His method generalized that by O. Szász [9] for a special case of (1), namely, the function $\Theta_q(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n q^{-n^2} = \mathcal{T}_{q^2}(z/q)$; at about the same time F. Bernstein and O. Szász [1] used a continued fraction for $\Theta_q(z)$ due to Eisenstein to provide another irrationality proof for its values at certain rational q and z . These seem to be the very first results on the arithmetic nature of values of q -series.

The aim of this note is to give an elementary proof of Tschakaloff's theorem [10] and also its quantitative form given by P. Bundschuh in [3, Satz 2].

Theorem. *Let $q = q_1/q_2$ and z be non-zero rational numbers, where $|q| > 1$ and $q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose that the non-negative number*

$$\gamma = \frac{\log |q_2|}{\log |q_1|}$$

satisfies $\gamma < \gamma_0 = (3 - \sqrt{5})/2$. Then the value $\mathcal{T}_q(z)$ is irrational. Moreover, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive constant $b_0(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$\left| \mathcal{T}_q(z) - \frac{a}{b} \right| > |b|^{-1 - \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2(\gamma_0-\gamma)} - \varepsilon} \quad (2)$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11J72, 11J82 (Primary), 33D15 (Secondary).

[‡]The work is partially supported by grant no. 03-01-00359 of the Russian Foundation for Basic Research.

for all integers a and b with $|b| \geq b_0(\varepsilon)$.

The rational approximations to the Tschakaloff function (1) that we construct in the next section are actually the same as those in [10] and [3]. Our contribution here is to provide an elementary explanation of why these approximations are good enough to obtain the irrationality of $\mathcal{T}_q(z)$. Our proof is inspired by the ideas of L. Gutnik and Yu. Nesterenko [7, Section 1] in their proof that $\zeta(3) \notin \mathbb{Q}$. This is the famous theorem due to R. Apéry; elementary proofs and interrelations with irrationality results for other mathematical constants may be found in [4] and [8].

2. Proof. For the first paragraph, we shall think of q as a *variable*. Let n be a positive integer and define the polynomial

$$R(T; q) = R_n(T; q) = (1 - qT)(1 - q^2T) \cdots (1 - q^nT).$$

Multiplication gives

$$R(T; q) = \sum_{k=0}^n C_k(q) T^k, \quad (3)$$

where, for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$,

$$C_k(q) = C_{k,n}(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q] \quad (4)$$

is a polynomial in q with

$$\text{degree } C_k(q) \leq \frac{n(n+1)}{2}. \quad (5)$$

Conditions (4) and (5) imply that, if $q = q_1/q_2$, then

$$q_2^{n(n+1)/2} C_k\left(\frac{q_1}{q_2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (6)$$

for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ and arbitrary non-zero integers q_1 and q_2 .

Let $m = \lfloor \beta n \rfloor$ (here $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ denotes the integer part of a number), where $\beta = (\sqrt{5}-1)/2$ is the positive root of the polynomial $x^2 + x - 1$, and introduce the series

$$I_n = I_n(z; q) = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} R_n(q^{-t}; q) z^{t+m} q^{-(t+m)(t+m-1)/2}, \quad (7)$$

which converges if $|q| > 1$. Using (3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_n &= \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} z^{t+m} \sum_{k=0}^n C_k(q) q^{-kt-(t+m)(t+m-1)/2} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n z^{-k} C_k(q) q^{k(k-1)/2+km} \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} z^{k+t+m} q^{-(k+t+m)(k+t+m-1)/2} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n z^{-k} C_k(q) q^{k(k-1)/2+km} \sum_{l=k+m+1}^{\infty} z^l q^{-l(l-1)/2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k=0}^n z^{-k} C_k(q) q^{k(k-1)/2+km} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} z^l q^{-l(l-1)/2} - \sum_{l=0}^{k+m} z^l q^{-l(l-1)/2} \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^n z^{-k} C_k(q) q^{k(k-1)/2+km} \cdot \mathcal{T}_q(z) - \sum_{k=0}^n C_k(q) \sum_{l=0}^{k+m} z^{-(k-l)} q^{k(k-1)/2+km-l(l-1)/2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

If $q = q_1/q_2$ and $z = z_1/z_2$, where $q_1, q_2, z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, then from (6) and (8) we see that the quantity $\tilde{I}_n = \tilde{I}_n(z; q)$ defined by

$$\tilde{I}_n = z_1^n z_2^m q_1^{m(m-1)/2} q_2^{n(n+1)/2+n(n-1)/2+nm} I_n \tag{9}$$

is of the form

$$\tilde{I}_n = B_n \cdot \mathcal{T}_q(z) - A_n, \tag{10}$$

where A_n and B_n are integers, determined by (8) and (9). In addition, since equality in (5) is achieved only when $k = n$, we see that the coefficient of $\mathcal{T}_q(z)$ in (8) has the following asymptotics as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (where $f(n) \sim g(n)$ means that $f(n)/g(n) \rightarrow 1$):

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \sum_{k=0}^n z^{-k} C_k(q) q^{k(k-1)/2+km} \right| &\sim |z|^{-n} |C_n(q)| |q|^{n(n-1)/2+nm} \\
&= |z|^{-n} |q|^{n(n+m)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

In order to evaluate the asymptotic behavior of the sum of the series (7), notice that $R_n(q^{-t}; q) = 0$ for $t = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Therefore (using $f(n) = O(g(n))$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ to mean that $|f(n)| \leq C|g(n)|$ for some constant $C > 0$ and all n sufficiently large),

$$\begin{aligned}
I_n &= \sum_{t=n+1}^{\infty} R_n(q^{-t}; q) z^{t+m} q^{-(t+m)(t+m-1)/2} \\
&= R_n(q^{-(n+1)}; q) z^{n+m+1} q^{-(n+m)(n+m+1)/2} + O(q^{-(n+m+1)(n+m+2)/2}) \\
&= z^{n+m+1} q^{-(n+m)(n+m+1)/2} (1 - q^{-1})(1 - q^{-2}) \cdots (1 - q^{-n}) \\
&\quad + O(q^{-(n+m+1)(n+m+2)/2}) \\
&\sim z^{n+m+1} q^{-(n+m)(n+m+1)/2} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

In particular, $I_n \neq 0$ for all n sufficiently large.

Finally, since $|q_1/q_2| = |q| > 1$ implies that $|q_1| > 1$, we may define γ by the relation $\log |q_2| = \gamma \log |q_1|$, so that $\gamma \geq 0$. Assume that $\gamma < \gamma_0 = (3 - \sqrt{5})/2$. Then, from (9), (11), (12), and the relation $m = \lfloor \beta n \rfloor$, for the quantities B_n and $\tilde{I}_n$ in (10) we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |B_n|}{n^2 \log |q_1|} = (1 - \gamma)(1 + \beta) + \gamma(1 + \beta) + \frac{\beta^2}{2} = \frac{\sqrt{5}(\sqrt{5} + 1)}{4} \tag{13}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |\tilde{I}_n|}{n^2 \log |q_1|} = -(1 - \gamma) \frac{(1 + \beta)^2}{2} + \gamma(1 + \beta) + \frac{\beta^2}{2} = -\frac{\sqrt{5}(\sqrt{5} + 1)(\gamma_0 - \gamma)}{2(\sqrt{5} - 1)} < 0. \quad (14)$$

Now let us show that $\mathcal{T}_q(z)$ cannot be rational. Suppose, on contrary, that $\mathcal{T}_q(z) = a/b$ for some integers a and $b \neq 0$. Then from (10)

$$b\tilde{I}_n = B_n a - A_n b \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Recalling that (12) yields $I_n \neq 0$ for n large, we conclude that $|b\tilde{I}_n| \geq 1$. But, by (14), we have $|b\tilde{I}_n| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The contradiction implies that $\mathcal{T}_q(z) \notin \mathbb{Q}$.

We leave to the reader the derivation of estimate (2) from (10), (13), and (14) by letting $a_n = A_n$ and $b_n = B_n$ in the following standard lemma (compare [2, Section 11.3, Exercise 3]).

Lemma. *Let α be an irrational real number. Suppose that we have a sequence of rational approximations a_n/b_n to α (where $a_n, b_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$) such that the sequence $|b_n|$ tends to infinity with n ,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |b_{n+1}|}{\log |b_n|} = 1,$$

and with some constant $c > 0$

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{a_n}{b_n} \right| < \frac{1}{|b_n|^{1+c}}$$

for all n sufficiently large. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive constant $b_0(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{a}{b} \right| > \frac{1}{b^{1+1/c+\varepsilon}}$$

for all integers a and b with $b \geq b_0(\varepsilon)$.

3. Related results. Although we are able to prove the irrationality of $\mathcal{T}_q(z)$ only under the hypothesis $\gamma < \gamma_0 = 0.381966\dots$, it is expected that this hypothesis can be dropped, i.e., that $\mathcal{T}_q(z)$ is irrational for all $z \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$ and $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $|q| > 1$. This remains an open problem. The earlier method in [9] requires the condition $\gamma < 1/3$ (which is worse, since $1/3 < \gamma_0$) corresponding to the simpler choice $\beta = 0$ in our notation. The choice $\beta = (\sqrt{5} - 1)/2$ ensures the optimal value of γ_0 in terms of the construction presented here.

The Tschakaloff function (1) might be viewed as “half” of the theta series $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{n-1/2} q^{-(n-1/2)^2}$. This viewpoint and Nesterenko’s theorem [6] on the transcendence of certain theta series imply the transcendence of $\mathcal{T}_q(z)$ for q algebraic, $|q| > 1$, and $z = q^k$ with some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, solving this case of the open problem. On the other hand, when z and q are multiplicatively independent, no transcendence results are known. This is part of a general problem posed by K. Mahler in [5] for analytic

functions which satisfy functional equations (such as $\mathcal{T}_q(z) = 1 + z\mathcal{T}_q(z/q)$ for the function (1)), but to which his method from [5] cannot be applied.

The constants $\beta = (\sqrt{5}-1)/2$ and $\gamma_0 = 1-\beta$, involved in the proof of the Theorem, are related to the *golden mean* (or *golden section*), the positive root of the polynomial x^2-x-1 . It is quite curious that the golden mean and its generalizations (the so-called *metallic means*) also occur in other irrationality proofs related to Apéry's theorem [4].

Finally, we mention that a special case of the q -binomial theorem implies the following explicit formula for the polynomial (4):

$$C_k(q) = (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q q^{k(k+1)/2}$$

involving the q -binomial coefficients

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{[n]_q!}{[k]_q! [n-k]_q!} \in \mathbb{Z}[q],$$

where $[0]_q! = 1$ and, for $k = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$[k]_q! = \frac{(q-1)(q^2-1)(q^3-1)\cdots(q^k-1)}{(q-1)^k}.$$

Acknowledgments. It is a pleasure for me to thank Jonathan Sondow, who conceptually influenced the note by several very useful suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. F. Bernstein and O. Szász, *Über Irrationalität unendlicher Kettenbrüche mit einer Anwendung auf die Reihe ...*, Math. Ann. **76** (1915), 295–300.
2. J. M. Borwein and P. B. Borwein, *Pi and the AGM: A study in analytic number theory and computational complexity*, Canad. Math. Soc. Ser. Monogr. Adv. Texts, Wiley, New York, 1987.
3. P. Bundschuh, *Verschärfung eines arithmetischen Satzes von Tschakaloff*, Portugal. Math. **33** (1974), no. 1, 1–17.
4. D. Huylebrouck, *Similarities in irrationality proofs for π , $\ln 2$, $\zeta(2)$ and $\zeta(3)$* , Amer. Math. Monthly **108** (2001), no. 3 (March), 222–231.
5. K. Mahler, *Remarks on a paper by W. Schwarz*, J. Number Theory **1** (1969), 512–521.
6. Yu. Nesterenko, *Modular functions and transcendence problems*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I **322** (1996), no. 10, 909–914.
7. Yu. V. Nesterenko, *A few remarks on $\zeta(3)$* , Math. Notes **59** (1996), no. 6, 625–636.
8. A. van der Poorten, *A proof that Euler missed... Apéry's proof of the irrationality of $\zeta(3)$* , An informal report, Math. Intelligencer **1** (1978/79), no. 4, 195–203.
9. O. Szász, *Über Irrationalität gewisser unendlicher Reihen*, Math. Ann. **76** (1915), 485–487.
10. L. Tschakaloff, *Arithmetische Eigenschaften der unendlichen Reihe ...*, Math. Ann. **80** (1919), 62–74.

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS
 MOSCOW LOMONOSOV STATE UNIVERSITY
 VOROBIOVY GORY, GSP-2
 119992 MOSCOW, RUSSIA
 URL: <http://wain.mi.ras.ru/>
 E-mail address: wadim@ips.ras.ru